

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/814,565 03/31/2004		Arlo H. T. Lin	CFP-1842-1 (15722/471)	6890		
23595 7	590 10/03/2005	EXAMINER				
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			BASICHAS, ALFRED			
SUITE 820	AVENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3749			

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/814,565		LIN, ARLO H. T.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
		t t	Alfred Basic		3749			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on 23 June	e 2004.					
·		2b)⊠ This a		n-final.				
3) <u></u> Si	nce this application is in condition	for allowanc	e except fo	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
clo	osed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex</i>	parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ CI	aim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	aim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejecte	ed.						
·=	aim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.							
8)∐ CI	aim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or e	election red	juirement.				
Application	Papers			,				
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) 🔲 Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accep	oted or b)] objected to by the E	xaminer.			
•	pplicant may not request that any object			<u>-</u>	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/814,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuriyama (JP11051391), which shows all of the claimed limitations. Kuriyama shows cigarette lighter 1 including, among other things, a reservoir for storing fuel 17, a head 6 formed on the reservoir, a valve 7a for releasing the fuel from the reservoir, a nozzle 7b put in the head for spraying the fuel from the valve, an ignition device 8 for igniting the fuel sprayed from the nozzle, a cover 4 for covering the head and a visual alarm 23 for providing only one round of a visual message every time the cover is lifted, a collar 6, wherein the alarm includes a switch 30 for contact with the cover when the cover is lifted (see at least fig. 5), further including a lever 15a for controlling the valve, a container 3 integrated with the reservoir, wherein the ignition device is put in the container (see at least fig. 1) and includes an electrode 11 extending to the vicinity of the nozzle (see at least fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuriyama (JP11051391) in view of Chen (6,527,542). Kuriyama discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations but does not specifically recite the claimed hinge arrangement including ears and the positioning of the switch between the ears.
 - a. Chen teaches a cigarette lighter including a cover 20, head 58, and collar 5 including a hinge arrangement that utilizes ears (see at least fig. 2). Chen does not specifically discuss the ears as they are clearly old and well known in the art. Furthermore, this arrangement has no specific criticality other than mere design choice based on esthetic appeal. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the ear

arrangement taught by Chen on the collar and/or the cover of Kuriyama, so as to provide for the desired esthetic appeal.

b. As regards the placement of the switch between the ears, this is simply an extension of the desired appearance. As disclosed by Kuriyama, the placement of the switch is best located at the hinge holding the cover. Accordingly, the placement of the switch in an arrangement that utilizes ears would naturally extend to require that the placement thereof be therebetween. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place the switch between the ears, so as to provide for the desired esthetic appeal.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form *including all of the limitations of*the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hu and Ichikwawa disclose lighters with many of the claimed components. Nevertheless, in order to avoid overburdening the applicant with redundant rejections, these references were not applied.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571 272 4877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

September 29, 2005

A*lfted Bas*ichas Primáry Examiner